## Approved For Release 2000/08/03 : CIA-RDP75-00001R000100100064-6 23 AUGUST 1965

critical of administration policy in the Dominican Republic. The remarks of the senior Senator from Connecticut included complaints that the chronology did not bear statements favorable to the position of the administration.

The facts are as follows:

First. The document to which the senior Senator refers was issued in early July for use of the committee in connection with its effort to learn in detail of developments in the Dominican Republic. It was compiled, as noted in the preface, from material "collected with the assistlance of the Legislative Reference Service of the Library of Congress, the Department of State, and the staff of the Committee on Foreign Relations." Because of shortage of time, the staff of the committee in compiling the chronology made extensive use of a research instrument to which it subscribes entitled "Deadline Data.

Second. The statement of the senior Senator from Connecticut leaves the impression that the administration views were not adequately presented in the chronology. Members should note, how-ever, that the chronology and the accompanying printed material includes not only a number of documents issued by the Organization of American States. but six statements by President Johnson. and a number of statements by the Department of State and one by Ambassador Stevenson.

Third. I do wish to expres my regret, that it has not been possible for the senior Senator from Connecticut [Mr. Dopp1 to attend meetings of the Foreign. Relations Committee on this subject. Much of the material to which he referred has been considered by the committee.

Fourth. Finally, I wish the RECORD to show that all of the witnesses which the committee heard at the sessions not attended by the Senator from Connecticut were administration witnesses, save one. We heard the testimony of Secretary of State Rusk, Under Secretary of State Mann, Deputy Secretary of Defense Vance, Ambassador Bennett, Admiral Raborn, Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and Assistant Secretary of State for American Republics Affairs Vaughn. The only non-Government witness called before the committee was the former Governor of Puerto Rico, the Honorable Luis Muñoz-Marin.

Investgiations of acts of the executive department by their very nature, as the Senator well knows, put the burden on the administration to prove that its actions were correct. I believe that a fair criticism of the committee might be that it put too much time and effort into the examination of Government witnesses, and not enough into examination of Government critics.

The committee has met on 13 different occasions, compiling some 760 pages of testimony. Most of the meetings have been 2 or 3 hours in length. The senior Senator from Connecticut attended one of these meetings, and a search of the committee records indicates that he has not seen fit to consult the transcripts of quoted exclusively from press sources those hearings.

REMARKS OF SENATOR THOMAS J. DODD CONCERNING A CHRONOL-OGY PUBLISHED BY THE COM-MITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS ON THE SITUATION IN THE DO-

Mr. FULBRIGHT. Mr. President, the senior Senator from Connecticut [Mr. Donol has today issued a press release entitled "Senator Dopp Charges Foreign Relations Publication on Dominican. Crisis Slanted Against Administration."

MINICAN REPUBLIC

The allegation is that the chronology

FURTHER AMENDMENT OF FOR-EIGN ASSISTANCE ACT OF 1961-CONFERENCE REPORT

Mr. FULBRIGHT. Mr. President, I submit a report of the committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 7750) to amend further the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, and for other purposes. I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the report.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The report will be read for the information

of the Senate.

The legislative clerk read the report. (For conference report, see House proceedings of Aug. 18, 1965, pp. 20132-20135, CONGRESSIONAL RECORD.)

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the present consideration of the report?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the report.